UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,815	07/12/2006	Kuk-hyun Han	Q95632	6267
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/01/200 <b>ON. PLLC</b>	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			HANCE, ROBERT J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/58	5,815	HAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			iner	Art Unit				
		ROBE	RT HANCE	2623				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	address			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIN IS SOME THE MAIN IN THE MAIN IS SOME THE MAIN IN THE MAIN IS SOME THE MAIN IS SOME THE MAIN IN THE MAIN IS SOME THE MAIN IN T	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n munication. tatutory period will apply a y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUN o event, however, may nd will expire SIX (6) Mo e application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 12 July 2006	3					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 July 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□		<i>'</i> —		atters prosecution as to the	he merits is			
ت (۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the	application						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>——</u> is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electio	on requirement.					
	on Papers		·					
	The specification is objected to by the	o Evaminar						
• —	The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 May 200</u>		onted or b\□ obi	octed to by the Evaminer				
10)[2]	Applicant may not request that any obje	·	· · · · · · · ·	-				
		_	•					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
<u> </u>	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies	•		en received in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/12/06; 11/16/07</u> .		5)  Notice o					
. apo(opan bato <u>on rib oo, rin rotor</u> .								

Application/Control Number: 10/585,815 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 8-10 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soundararajan, US Pub No 2003/0084448 in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

**As to claim 1** Soundararajan discloses an apparatus for dynamically managing a user's favorite channels, the apparatus comprising:

a user input unit receiving a channel change input from the user (Fig. 1: 125);

a channel list storage unit storing channel preference information regarding the user's preference degrees for channels (Paragraph 33; Fig. 2: 250)

a control unit calculating a preference degree for a channel selected in response to the channel change input received by the user input unit and analyzing a pattern of channel change inputs (Paragraphs 36-40 – processor 230 calculates time spent watching each channel (which is an analysis of pattern of channel change inputs)

thereby calculating a preference degree for each channel. When a user scrolls through channels, only preferred channels are "surfed"); and

an output unit (Fig. 1: 110) providing content of the selected channel according to calculation and analysis results of the control unit (Paragraphs 36-40 – a user "surfs" only preferred channels).

Soundararajan fails to disclose storing an entire channel list comprising channels receivable using a tuner.

However, AAPA discloses storing an entire channel list comprising channels receivable using a tuner (Page 1 of the Specification, Paragraph 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the invention of Soundararajan with the teachings of AAPA. The rationale for this modification would have been to avoid scrolling through channels that a tuner cannot receive when channel-surfing.

**As to claim 2** the combined system of Soundararajan and AAPA disclose the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the channel preference information is an accumulation of times while the user stays at each channel (Soundararajan Paragraphs 36-40).

As to claim 8 the combined system of Soundararajan and AAPA disclose the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the content is a broadcast program (Soundararajan Paragraph 27).

As to claims 9-10 and 16 see similar rejections to claims 1-2 and 8, respectively.

3. Claims 3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soundararajan and AAPA as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Wugofski et al., US Pub No 2003/0056216.

As to claim 3 the combined system of Soundararajan and AAPA fail to disclose the apparatus of claim 2, wherein the accumulation is an accumulation of times while the user stays at each channel in each time zone.

However, in an analogous art, Wugofski discloses calculating favorite channels as a function of time that a user watches channels during certain time slots (i.e. time zones) (Paragraph 44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combined system of Soundararajan and AAPA with the teachings of Wugofski. The rationale for this modification would have been to adapt a favorite channels list to the fact that users watch different channels during different time slots – for example, news channels in the mornings and movie channels in the evenings.

As to claim 11 see similar rejection to claim 3.

4. Claims 4-5, 7, 12-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soundararajan and AAPA as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Yuen et al., US Patent No 5,488,409.

As to claim 4 the combined system of Soundararajan and AAPA disclose the apparatus of claim 1, wherein the control unit provides the content of the selected channel through the output unit when the calculated preference degree for the selected channel satisfies a predetermined reference (Soundararajan Paragraphs 36-40).

Claim 4 additionally calls for changing to the next consecutive channel whose calculated preference degree does not satisfy the predetermined reference (i.e. is not a favorite channel) by inputting a pattern of "channel up - channel down - channel up" or a pattern of "channel down - channel up - channel down." In making selections in the television environment it is typical in the art to enter a key sequence or combination of key entries to activate a desired function. For example, Yuen et al. disclose that sequences of conventional keys can be entered to initiate functions, rather than providing special function keys (col. 6 lines 46-50).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined system of Soundararajan and AAPA to allow the entering a key sequence or pattern of key entries, as taught by Yuen, to initiate a function such as switching between a "favorite channel list" and an "entire receivable channel list." The rationale for this modification would have been to allow this function to be initiated without

providing any additional special function keys, and thereby avoiding cluttering a user input device with extra keys.

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined system of Soundararajan and AAPA to include any key sequence or pattern of key entries to activate any function or any program, since applicant has not disclosed that the specific pattern of key sequences solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the system would operate or perform equally well with any key sequence.

As to claim 5 the combined system of Soundararajan, AAPA and Yuen disclose the apparatus of claim 4, wherein when the calculated preference degree for the selected channel does not satisfy the predetermined reference of the selected channel whose content is provided according to the pattern of the channel change inputs, the control unit provides the content of the selected channel through the output unit if the selected channel is present between two channels that have preference degrees satisfying the predetermined reference and that are adjacent to the selected channel (see rejection to claim 4 – a non-favorite channel will be tuned to after the input of a particular sequence of keys. Therefore, if a non-favorite channel is present between two favorite channels and the mode-switching sequence of keys is input, the non-favorite channel will be displayed).

As to claim 7 combined system of Soundararajan, AAPA and Yuen disclose the apparatus of claim 1, wherein when the calculated preference degree for the selected channel does not satisfy a predetermined reference, the control unit provides the content of the selected channel through the output unit if either of 'channel up' and 'channel down' is received as the channel change input a pre-determined number of consecutive times (see similar reasoning in the rejection of claim 4. Pressing a key a predetermined number of consecutive times to perform a given function is analogous to entering a predetermined sequence of keys to perform a function)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined system of Soundararajan and AAPA to allow for pressing a key a predetermined number of consecutive times, as taught by Yuen, to initiate a function such as switching between a "favorite channel list" and an "entire receivable channel list." The rationale for this modification would have been to allow this function to be initiated without providing any additional special function keys, and thereby avoiding cluttering a user input device with extra keys.

Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined system of Soundararajan and AAPA to allow for pressing a key a predetermined number of times to activate any function or any program, since applicant has not disclosed that the specific pattern of key sequences solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the system would operate or perform equally well with any key sequence.

As to claims 12-13 and 15 see similar rejections to claims 4-5 and 7, respectively.

5. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soundararajan and AAPA as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Taylor, US Pub No 2005/0278648.

Claims 6 and 14 call for changing to the next consecutive channel whose calculated preference degree does not satisfy the predetermined reference (i.e. is not a favorite channel) by inputting 'channel up' or 'channel down' as a channel change input for a predetermined period of time. In making selections in the television environment it is typical in the art to press and hold a key to activate a desired function. For example, Taylor discloses that a key can be pressed and held for a period of time to perform a function different from the function normally assigned to that key (Paragraph 56 - the mute key can be pressed and held to change transparency of an EPG).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined system of Soundararajan and AAPA to allow for a key to be pressed and held in order to switch between a "favorite channel list" and an "entire receivable channel list." The rationale for this modification would have been to allow this function to be initiated without providing any additional special function keys, and thereby avoiding cluttering a user input device with extra keys.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROBERT HANCE whose telephone number is (571)270-5319. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on (571)272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ROBERT HANCE Examiner Art Unit 2623

/ROBERT HANCE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2623

/Christopher Grant/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623